



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,469	07/13/2004	JOSEPH FRANKLIN FRASCA		4468
29775	7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
JOSEPH FRANKLIN FRASCA			HAYES, BRET C	
479 EAST PACES FERRY ROAD, NE AI ATLANTA, GA 30305-3318	2 APT #1121	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3641	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/710,469	FRASCA, JOSEPH FRANKLIN	1		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bret C. Hayes	3644			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIO - External after af	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,—		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims		,			
		otion				
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	*		•		
5\ 	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ndrawn nom consideration.	· · · · ·			
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.					
7) 		,				
,—	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or cloation requirement				
• <i>)</i> ∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	opplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	received.			
				•		
A 44 1:						
Attachmer	• •	, —	O (DTO . 440)			
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗐 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	4) ∐ Interview B) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date 13 JUL 04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/710,469

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 14 are objected to because of the following informalities.
- 2. The claims contain myriad errors, a selection of which follows:
 - a. the word "breach" has been used throughout to mean --breech--; the objection to the specification is due to this word usage throughout;
 - b. c1 begins with "electromagnetic", which should be capitalized;
 - c. c1 also recites "devices" in line 1, this should be the singular, --device--;
 - d. page 2 of c1, at line 10, "it" should be --its--;
 - e. page 3 of c1, at line 6, "then" should be --than--; and,
 - f. c13 and c14 repeat the preamble.

This listing is not meant to be exhaustive. Applicant(s) is advised to revisit and revise the entirety of the claims to better distinguish the claimed invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,469

Art Unit: 3644

5. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. While they do not appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document, they are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors (see objections above).

Page 3

- 6. Claim 1, page 3, lines 24 26, "the wall conductor assembly forward wall conductor contact means" appears to lack antecedent basis in the claims. Examiner could not find where such " \underline{a} ...contact means" was previously recited, but perhaps this is an oversight. Also, note that other of the claims include this recitation as well.
- 7. Further, claim 1, page 4, line 1, recites the limitation "said contact means", which is understood to be aforementioned "...wall conductor contact means", but may also be a reference to the recitation at page 2, lines 19 & 20 of "an electrical contact means". For clarity, examiner suggests revising to include the entirety of the recitation of --the wall conductor assembly forward wall conductor contact means--, because the claim will further recite other "contact means" limitations as well. This 'entirety' approach is recommended for all such recitations.
- 8. Further still, claim 1, page 4, lines 16 18, recites the limitation "the wall conductor contact means has sequentially with successive wall conductors comprising forward wall conductor of the wall conductor assembly", which is unclear. How can 'successive wall conductors', be comprised of apparently singular 'forward wall conductor'? Note, this limitation is repeated at page 5, lines 2 & 3, for example.
- 9. Even further, claim 1, page 7, at lines 8, 11 and 13, recites the limitation "extant", which is unclear. The term archaically means "to stand out or above", but more usually means "currently in existence or existing". The specification does nothing to further define this term. It is noted that for examination purposes, the term will be taken to mean standing out or above.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

10. Claim 2, line 1 recites "A", which should be --An--; and, "as in 1", which should be --as in claim 1--, for example.

- 11. Claims 7 10, 13 and 14 recite "however", at lines 1 or 2, which is not clear in context.
- 12. The above is not intended to be an exhaustive listing, but rather exemplary only.

 Applicant(s) would do well to revisit and revise the entirety of the claims for such errors.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 1, 3 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 14. Claims 2, 4 10 and 12 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (571) 272 – 6902 or email address bret.hayes@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached at (571) 272 – 6873.

bh

16-Dec-05